

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,159		12/27/2000	Thomas John Eggebraaten	ROC920000230US1	4947
46296	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		CIATES, LLC	HO, THOMAS M		
P.O. BOX 54 CARTHAG		64836-0548		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2134	
				DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/749,159	EGGEBRAATEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas M. Ho	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nuarv 2006.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are rejected.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-12 are pending.
- 2. Claims 1-3 have been previously allowed. Claims 4-12 are rejected.

Examiner's Comment

3. A search of the prior art conducted on 4/1/06 - 4/2/06 has uncovered prior art which is believed to read upon Applicant's claims. An examination made however, reveals that the newly uncovered art does not read upon the previously allowed claims 1-3. For this reason, claims 1-3 remain allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 4-11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent, 5935210, Stark.

In reference to claim 4:

Stark (Column 5, lines 60 - Column 6, lines 23) & (Column 3, lines 27-44) discloses a method for a directory service that contains a proxy entry corresponding to an external protected resource to provide authentication and authorization functions to a software application, the method comprising the steps of:

- (A) when the software application needs to access the external protected resource, performing the steps of:
- (A1) the software application maintaining a resource map to identify a proxy entry that corresponds to the external protected resource, where the software application is the module the user uses to interface his or her queries to the resource map.
- (A2) the software application requesting from the directory service access to the proxy entry that corresponds to the external protected resource, where the software application accesses external resources through proxy entries (URLs or icons)
- (A3) if the directory service grants access to the proxy entry that corresponds to the external protected resource, the application accesses the external protected resource, where if the user selects the resource to access, the resource is accessed.

In reference to claim 5:

Stark (Figure 8) & (Column 9, lines 4-13, 33-57) & (Column 8, lines 47-57) discloses the method of claim 4 further comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2134

(A4) if the directory service denies access to the proxy entry that corresponds to the external protected resource, the application does not access the protected resource, where the application module does not access the selected resource if it has been marked as inaccessible.

In reference to claim 6:

Stark (Column 5, lines 60 - Column 6, lines 23) & (Column 3, lines 27-44) discloses a method for a directory service to provide authentication and authorization functions to a software application, the method comprising the steps of:

- (A) determining which of a plurality of resources require protection, where the resources which require protection are designated as inaccessible.
- (B) creating a proxy entry in the directory service for each protected resource, where the proxy entry is the URL
- (C) the software application generating a logical mapping that correlates each protected resource to its corresponding proxy entry, where the software application is the software module the user uses to access the resources through the resource map.
- (D) when the software application needs to access a selected protected resource, performing the steps of:
- (D1) using the logical mapping to identify a proxy entry that corresponds to the selected protected resource, where the logical mapping is the resource map.
- (D2) the software application requesting from the directory service access to the identified proxy entry, where once the user selects a resource through the resource map, the resource is accessed.

Art Unit: 2134

(D3) if the directory service grants access to the identified proxy entry, the application accesses the selected protected resource.

In reference to claim 7:

Stark (Figure 8) & (Column 9, lines 4-13, 33-57) & (Column 8, lines 47-57) discloses the method of claim 6 further comprising the step of:

(D4) if the directory service denies access to the proxy entry that corresponds to the selected protected resource, the application does not access the selected protected resource, where the application module does not access the selected resource if it has been marked as inaccessible.

In reference to claim 8:

Stark (Column 5, lines 60 - Column 6, lines 23) & (Column 3, lines 27-44) discloses a program product comprising:

(A) a software application that maintains a logical mapping that correlates a plurality of protected resources that are not stored or contained within the directory with corresponding proxy entries in a directory service that is managed by a directory service server, the application determining whether the application is authorized to access a selected protected resource by invoking authentication and authorization functions in the directory service server to determines whether the proxy entry corresponding to the selected resource may be accessed, and if so, the application accesses the selected protected resource, where the software application maintains a resource map, where the plurality of protected resources, are the resources of the resource map of Stark, and where

Application/Control Number: 09/749,159

Art Unit: 2134

if a user chooses to access one of the resources through the map, the resource is thereby

Page 6

accessed.

(B) computer-readable signal bearing media bearing the software application. Stark

(Column 10, lines 13-42)

In reference to claim 9:

Stark (Column 10, lines 13-42) discloses the program product of claim 8 wherein the signal

bearing media comprises recordable media.

In reference to claim 10:

Stark (Column 10, lines 13-42) discloses the program product of claim 8 wherein the signal

bearing media comprises transmission media.

In reference to claim 12:

Stark (Figure 8) & (Column 9, lines 4-13, 33-57) & (Column 8, lines 47-57) discloses the

program product of claim 8 wherein the application does not access the selected protected

resource if the proxy entry corresponding to the selected resource cannot be accessed, where the

application module does not access the selected resource if it has been marked as inaccessible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2134

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stark.

In reference to claim 11:

The program product of claim 8 wherein the directory service server is a Lightweight Directory

Access Protocol (LDAP), and wherein the directory is an LDAP directory.

The Examiner takes official notice that LDAP was well known to those of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of invention. Examples of the disclosure include the wikipedia, and the previously

cited art in the prosecution of the application: "Understanding LDAP"

Lightweight Directory Access Protocol

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

(Redirected from <u>LDAP</u>)

Jump to: navigation, search

Internet protocol suite				
Layer Protocols				
Application	DNS, TLS/SSL, TFTP, FTP, HTTP, IMAP, IRC, NNTP, POP3, SIP, SMTP, SNMP, SSH, TELNET, BitTorrent, RTP, rlogin, ENRP,			
Transport	TCP UDP DCCP SCTP II RUDP			

Art Unit: 2134

	•••	
<u>Network</u>	<u>IP (IPv4, IPv6), ICMP, IGMP, ARP, RARP,</u>	
Link	Ethernet, Wi-Fi, Token ring, PPP, SLIP, FDDI, ATM, Frame Relay, SMDS,	

In <u>computer networking</u>, the <u>Lightweight Directory Access Protocol</u>, or <u>LDAP</u>, is a <u>networking protocol</u> for querying and modifying <u>directory services</u> running over <u>TCP/IP</u>. An LDAP directory usually follows the <u>X.500</u> model: It is a tree of entries, each of which consists of a set of named attributes with values. While some services use a more complicated "forest" model, the vast majority use a simple starting point for their database organization.

An LDAP directory often reflects various political, geographic, and/or organizational boundaries, depending on the model chosen. LDAP deployments today tend to use Domain Name System (DNS) names for structuring the most simple levels of the hierarchy. Further into the directory might appear entries representing people, organizational units, printers, documents, groups of people or anything else which represents a given tree entry, or multiple entries.

Its current version is LDAPv3, as defined in RFC 3377.

Other data models

As LDAP has gained momentum, vendors have provided it as an access protocol to other services. The implementation then recasts the data to mimic the LDAP/X.500 model, but how closely this model is followed varies. For example, there is software to access <u>SQL</u> databases through LDAP, even though LDAP does not readily lend itself to this. <u>X.500</u> servers may support LDAP as well.

Similarly, data which were previously held in other types of data stores are sometimes moved to LDAP directories. For example, Unix user and group information can be

Art Unit: 2134

stored in LDAP and accessed via <u>PAM</u> and <u>NSS</u> modules. LDAP is often used by other services for authentication.

Page 9

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the directory service server to have separate utility an LDAP server to allow the users of stark to access the resources through an additional LDAP interface.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of the final action and the advisory action is not mailed under after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(A) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2134

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to

Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3835. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838.

The Examiner may also be reached through email through Thomas. Ho6@uspto.gov

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

General Information/Receptionist

Telephone: 571-272-2100

-2100 Fax: 571-273-8300

Customer Service Representative

Telephone: 571-272-2100

Fax: 571-273-8300

TMH

April 2nd, 2006

Method to the state of the stat